

# **Corporate Parenting Report**

October 2015

Children Looked After
Children Subject to a Child Protection Plan

Activity to end of June 2015
Key Indicators to end of September 2015

## **CONTENTS**

PART A:	PERFORMANCE INFORMATION	3
A1)	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	3
Part B:		
B1)	NUMBERS OF CLA & CPP	6
PART C:	CHILDREN LOOKED AFTER (CLA)	7
C1)	AGE GROUPS	7
C2)	<u>GENDER</u>	8
C3)	<u>ETHNICITY</u>	9
C4)	PLACEMENT TYPE	10
C5)	CLA EDUCATION & HEALTH	11
PART D:	CHILDREN SUBJECT TO A CHILD PROTECTION PLAN (CPP)	12
D1)	AGE GROUPS	12
D2)	GENDER	13
D3)	ETHNICITY	14
D4)	CATEGORY OF ABUSE	15
D5)	DURATION OF PLAN	16


# Part A – Key Performance Indicators

	Key Indicator Summary 2014/15 - Provisional Data						Lines 2,4,5,6: Rate: per10,000 child population (Rate is grossed for Q1, Q2 & Q3 to give year end for ecast,					
	Quarterly Performance for Children's Performance rag rated against ta		ocial Care				will be a ctual rate 13: Snaps hot rate		d population (as	at last day of the reporting period)		
PI Ref	PI Description	England average 13/14	Statistical Neighbours Average 13/14	Harrow 11/12	Harrow 12/13	Harrow 13/14	Q4 14/15 provisiona I	Harrow Q1 15/16	Harrow Q2 15/16	Direction of travel of performance indicators compared to provisional outturn for 2014-15		
1	% of referrals leading to the provision of a social care service (YTD)	85.9	96.2	78.5	91.8	96.3	88.8	84.8	88.8	$\leftrightarrow$		
2	Rate of Assessments per 10,000 child population. Measured Quarterly.	Not publis	hed as LA's mow at different poir	-		433.3	345.7	414.3	391.0			
3	Timeliness of Assessments (% complete in 45 working days) - YTD Target - 90%	82.2	81.8	New indicato		94.9	88.1	93.5	87.0	<b>1</b>		
4	Rate of S47 enquiries per 10,000 child population. Measured Quarterly.	124.1	123.1	54.4	83.3	113.8	92.1	110.4	112.5			
5	Children becoming the subject of a CPP (rate per 10,000 of the CYP population) <b>Measured Quarterly.</b>	Not	published	25.7	26.3	44.0	36.9	56.8	53.4			
6	Rate of ICPCs per 10,000 of the CYP population. <b>Measured Quarterly</b> .	56.8	52.8	30.1	40.4	52.1	45.7	71.7	67.4			
7	% of children conferenced within 15 working days of strategy discussion (YTD) Target - 90%	69.3	72.2	85.5	79.2	71.5	84.4	76.2	80.0	<b>↓</b>		
8	Rate of CPP per 10,000 population. (snaphot)	42.1	37.5	23.6	23.6	32.8	31.9	38.3	43.4			
9	CPP for 2nd or subsequent time (%) (YTD)	15.8	13.4	14.2	11.7	9.3	12.1	13.3	10.1	<b>↑</b>		
10	CPP for 2nd or subsequent time (within 2 yrs of last plan) (%)	Not	published	6.4	8.3	3.0	7.5	7.8	4.4	1		

PI Ref	PI Description	England average 13/14	Statistical Neighbours Average 13/14	Harrow 11/12	Harrow 12/13	Harrow 13/14	Q4 14/15 provisiona I	Harrow Q1 15/16	Harrow Q2 15/16	Direction of travel of performance indicators compared to provisional outturn for 2014-15
11	% of children with CPP for 2 years or more (snapshot) Target - 6%	2.6	4.3	New indicato 1		1.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	<b>↓</b>
12	Ceased CPP that lasted 2 or more years (% YTD) Target - 7%	4.5	5.5	17.9	11.2	5.4	2.9	9.8	4.5	<b>\</b>
13	Rate of CLA per 10,000 population. Measured Quarterly. (snapshot)	60	43	28.3	30.0	30.0	32.1	31.4	29.9	
14	% of New CLA who were previously adopted, had a Special Guardianship Order (SGO) or residence order granted	Not	published	New indicator from 2013 - 14		0.7	0.00	2.70	1.50	<b>\</b>
15	CLA placement stability: number of moves (% with 2+ moves) Target - 11%	11.0	12.6	17.0	15.5	10.0	9.5	1.1	4.2	1
16	CLA placement stability: length of placement (%) Target - 71%	67.0	64.0	71.4	52.8	45.0	34.5	53.3	64.3	1
17	% of CLA placed more than 20 miles away from home (snapshot)	13.0	20.3	19.0	19.0	16.0	17.9	13.7	18.6	<b>↓</b>
18	% of children who ceased to be looked after who were adopted	16.6	8.3	4.8	4.3	7.4	4.6	13.8	9.1	<b>↑</b>
19a	% of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation (combined for 19, 20 and 21 year olds)	77.8	79.7	77.3	80.0	95.4	80.8	75.0	76.0	<b>\</b>
19b	% of Care Leavers <b>not</b> in education, employment or training (combined for 19, 20 and 21 year olds)	38.0	32.0	36.0	33.0	29.0	30.4	32.7	33.3	<b>\</b>


		Harrow	England	SN	Harrow
20	Educational attainment of school aged Children (CiN & CPP, CLA)	2012-13	average	Average	2013-14
	Percentage of children in Need (CiN + CPP) achieving at least level 4 at KS2 Maths (Source Df	48.8	58	63.3	57
	Percentage of children in Need (CiN + CPP) achieving at least level 4 at KS2 in Grammar, Punctuation & Spelling (Source DfE) new 2013	41.5	46	51.7	50
	Percentage of children in Need (CiN + CPP) achieving at least level 4 at KS2 in Reading, writing and maths	34.1	46	52.3	47
	Percentage of children in Need (CiN + CPP) who achieve 5+ A* -C grades at GCSE including English and mathematics. (Source DfE)	22.4	15.1	24.1	12.8
	Percentage of children looked After achieving at least level 4 at KS2 in Maths (Source DfE)	67.0	61	75	100
	Percentage of children looked After achieving at least level 4 at KS2 in Grammar, Punctuation &	66.7%	49	58	0
	Percentage of children looked After achieving at least level 4 at KS2 in Reading (Source DfE)	66.7%	68	67	100
	Percentage of children looked After achieving at least level 4 at KS2 in Reading, writing and mat	66.7%	48	58	0
	Percentage of children Looked After who achieve 5+ A* -C grades at GCSE including English a	8.3%	12	31.4	0
21	Attendance at school of school aged Children in Need (CIN & CPP, CLA) (2013-14)				
	Percentage of sessions missed. (CiN & CPP)	11.6	9.4	9.5	8.7
	Percentage classed as persistent absentees (CiN & CPP)	17.2	13.8	13.35	12.5
	Percentage classed as persistent absentees CPP -only	18.9	15.2	15.4	13.5
	Percentage of sessions missed. (CLA1 year+)	7.8	4.7	4.9	
	Percentage classed as persistent absentees (CLA1 year+)	supressed - low nos	6.1	7.3	supressed - low nos
22	Exclusion from school of school-aged Children in Need (CIN & CPP) & CLA (2011-12)				
	Percentage of children permanently excluded. (CiN & CPP)	supressed - low nos	0.5	0.38	
	Percentage of children with at least one fixed exclusion. (CiN & CPP)	6.2	7.8	6.98	
	Percentage of children with at least one fixed exclusion. (CLA1 year+)	supressed - low nos	11.36	13.16	Not yet published


#### Part B – Numbers of CLA and CPP over time




CPP numbers rose significantly in 2013-14 - the rise corresponds with a lowering of thresholds. The numbers dropped during 2014-15 but have started to rise again during 2015-16. At 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015 the rate per 10,000 children was 37.8 and this is the highest since 2010.

CLA numbers have remained relatively stable since 2010 and at 30<sup>th</sup> june the rate per 10,000 children was 30.8 compared to 30.0 at the end of March 2014. Harrow continues to have a significantly lower rate of CLA than comparators (almost 10 per 10,000 lower). Further analysis of the statistical neighbours group shows that Merton, Kingston, Redbridge and Sutton have similar rates. The average is pulled up by Brent, Hillingdon, Hounslow and Ealing which have high numbers of CLA (300+).








The number and proportion of CLA aged up to 4 is higher than statistical neighbours and England averages and lower for age groups between 5 and 15. Compared to 2013-14 data there are small but not significant changes in different age groups.


Comparator Info. for % of	Age at 31 March 2014 (years) (%)									
<b>age of CLA at 31/3/2014</b> (Source: SSDA903)	Under 1	1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 15	16 -17					
England	5.6	16.6	20.2	36.5	21.0					
London	4.7	12.1	15.9	37.2	30.1					
Stat. neighbours avg.	4.5	12.8	15.5	35.8	31.4					
Harrow	9.1	15.2	12.1	30.3	33.3					
Harrow Q1 2015-16	7.0	13.4	14.5	32.0	33.1					

C2 – Children looked after gender. (Comparator info. source SSDA 903 March 2014)



In line with comparators, London and England, Harrow historically has a higher proportion of males who are looked after, although the proportion of females has increased since 2012.

Comparator Info. for % of gender of CLA at	Gender (%)				
<b>31/3/2014</b> (Source: SSDA903)	Male	Female			
England	55.3	44.7			
London	56.5	43.5			
Stat. neighbours avg.	56.3	43.7			
Harrow	60.6	39.4			
Harrow Q1 2015-16	60.5	39.5			



Comparator Info. for % of ethnicity of CLA at 31/3/2014 (Source: SSDA903)	White	Mixed	Asian or Asian British	Black or Black British	Other Ethnic Groups
England	77.6	8.9	3.7	6.7	3.1
London	41.4	16.7	8.3	27.7	5.8
Stat. neighbours avg.	44.7	16.8	13.3	19.8	4.7
Harrow	32.4	17.6	17.6	20.6	11.8
Harrow Q1 2015-16	28.5	15.1	13.4	22.7	20.3

As would be expected of Harrow's diverse population, the representation of Black and Minority Ethnic groups is considerably higher than England and the statistical neighbour average.

Overall just over two thirds of Harrow's children looked after population are from BME groups

There have been some changes in the ethnic background of our CLA population over the longer term - the proportion of CLA in mixed groups, Asian and White groups has dropped. There is an increase in the proportion of looked after children from Black and from other ethnic groups.


#### C4 - Children looked after placement type

Placement Type	Dec-14	Mar-15	Jun-15
Placed for Adoption	6	7	7
Foster placement with Relative or Friend	23	17	28
Foster Carer - Inhouse	70	55	56
Foster Carer - Agency	36	43	29
Secure Unit	0	0	0
Young Offenders Institution or Prison	1	2	1
Placed with Parents	0	0	2
Semi Independent / Independent Living	28	26	31
Residential Schools	7	5	5
Children's Homes	14	10	13
Grand Total	185	165	172

The types of placements of our CLA are fairly stable with a slight increase in placements with family and friends and in independent living. In house placements can fluctuate as most of the emergency and short-term placements are made with in-house carers.

All placement moves are scrutinised at a panel for authorisation before they are implemented. Support packages have been developed to prevent placement breakdown.

#### Harrow Placement Details at 30th June 2015



#### C5 - CLA Health & Education Data

note that DfE indicators include only CLA who have been looked after for more than one year to measure outcomes, Education data is updated annually

#### **CLA** educational attainment trends

CLA Looked after for over a year as at 31/03/14	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total Children in KS2 cohort	2	2	3	1	
Attained at least Level 4 in Maths at end of KS2	50%	0%	67%	100%	83%
Attained at least Level 4 in Reading at end of KS2	0%	0%	67%	100%	83%
Attained at least Level 4 in Writing at end of KS2	0%	0%	67%	0%	50%
Attained at least Level 4 in Reading, Writing and Maths at end of KS2	0%	0%	67%	0%	50%
Total young people in GCSE cohort	19	13	12	9	
GCSE: Attained at least 1 A*-G	47.4%	46.2%	33.3%	66.7%	36.4%
GCSE: Attained 5 or more A*-G	31.6%	23.1%	16.7%	33.3%	36.4%
GCSE: Attained 5 or more A*-C	5.30%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%
GCSE: Attained 5 or more A*-C INC Eng and Maths	5.30%	0%	8.30%	0%	0%

	Dec- 14	Mar 15	Jun 15
Number of statutory school age CLA (snapshot)	87	85	98
% of school age CLA (all CLA) permanently excluded this year (Sept to date)	0	0	1
% of school age CLA (all CLA) fixed-term excluded this year (Sept to date)	8	12.9	14.3
% absence from school (all CLA - (number of sessions missed)	11.5	11	13.4
% of CLA (all CLA) classified as persistent absentees	19.5	22.4	23.5
% of CLA (all school age CLA) with up to date PEP	60.9	68.2	59.2

### Detail for 2014-15 GCSEs

			GCSE ONLY						
	TOTAL IN COHORT	Sat GCSE Exams?	Number GCSES Achieved		5 A*-G	5* A-C	5* A-C inc Eng Math		5* A-C inc Eng Math
All CLA	20	5	-	5	4	0	0	0	0
%	-			25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CLA (1 YR+)	11	4	-	4	4	0	0	0	0
%	-	36.4%	_	36.4%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	Dec-14	Mar 15	Jun 15
% of new CLA where health assessment has been completed within 28 working days (month on month)	16.7	67.7	87.5
% of CLA with up to date Dental Checks (CLA 1 yr +)	81.2	89.7	81.5
% of CLA with up to date Health Checks (CLA 1 yr +)	89.1	88.7	95.8


#### **Health Data for CLA:**

All children who have been looked after over a year are required to have annual health and dental checks but some refuse; 87.5% of new CLA have had a health check in the target timescale of 28 days.

This academic year (to June) 18.6% school aged CLA 1+ have had at least 1 fixed term exclusion, while 1.7% have been permanently excluded. 23.5% of all CLA are classified as persistent absentees missing 13.4% or more of school sessions. Please note DfE measures children who have been looked after 1 year plus  ${\bf and}$  are on roll only, Published figures denote  ${\bf small}_{11}$  numbers and data is supressed.

### Part D – D1: Children subject to a Child Protection Plan (CPP) by age group

Children are made the subject of a child protection plan (CPP) when they are considered to be at risk of physical, sexual, emotional harm or neglect. Over the past three years, Harrow has seen a very large increase of in the number of children with a CPP, from 118 in May 2012 to 211 in June 2015 (an increase of 79%). However, over the past three quarters, the number has fluctuated, dropping to 178 in March 2015, but rising the following quarter.




The proportion of children with a CPP aged 1-4 increased at the start of 2014-15 but is now dropping. The proportion aged 5 – 15 decreased over 2014-15 but is now rising.

Comparator info. for age group of children with CPP's at 31st March 2014 (%) Source: CiN Census (numbers under 5 suppressed)

	Under 1	1-4 years	5-9 years	10-15 years	16 & over
England	13.4	30.5	28.5	25.2	2.2
London	13.3	29.4	29.3	26.4	1.9
Harrow	13.2	24.8	27.9	33.3	0.8
Harrow Jun 15	5.2	29.9	34.1	28.0	2.8

D2: Children subject to a Child Protection Plan (CPP) by gender




The proportions of males and females subject to a child protection plan balanced during 2014-15 at around half and half, but there were significantly more males during 2013 and there are more again now.

Comparator info. for gender of children with CPPs at 31st March 2014 (%) Source: CiN Census (numbers under 5 suppressed)

	Male	Female	Unborn / unknown	
England	50.0	48.0	2.0	
London	49.1	48.4	2.5	
Harrow	51.2	47.3	1.5	
Harrow Jun 15	53.6	46.4	0.0	

#### D3 - CPP by Ethnicity




The proportion of BME groups is considerably higher than England and London averages but this is as expected due to Harrow's diverse population; around two thirds of children requiring a child protection plan in Harrow are from BME groups. There were significant decreases over the past two years in the proportion from white communities, but this increased in the latest quarter. There have been increases in proportions from Black and from 'Other' communities.

Comparator info. for ethnicity of children with CPPs at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014 (%) Source: CiN Census (numbers under 5 suppressed)

	White	Mixed	Asian or Asian British	Black or Black British	Other Ethnic Groups	Refused / Unknown
London	45.1	15.3	12.2	21.6	2.8	3
England	75.9	7.9	5.4	4.9	1.2	4.6
Harrow	34.1	14	25.6	24	2.3	0
Harrow Jun 15	23.7	13.3	30.3	21.3	10.9	0.5

Τ.

D4 - CPP by category of abuse




The proportion of children requiring a plan under the category of 'neglect' has dropped during 2014-15, but rose in the latest quarter and the proportion under the emotional abuse category has increased. The issue of children with multiple categories recorded (15 at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015) is being addressed.

#### Comparator Info - CPP category at 31st March 2014

(%) Source: CiN Census (numbers under 5 suppressed)

	Neglect	Physical Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Emotional Abuse	Multiple
England	42.7	8.4	4.3	35.6	8.9
London	38.1	9.2	3.0	41.8	7.8
Harrow	48.6	7.4	Х	44.0	X 15
Harrow Jun 15	37.4	6.2	1.4	47.9	7.1

#### D5 - CPP by duration of plan



Co-ordinated work has continued to progress and end plans in a timely manner, meaning that the numbers of plans lasting over 24 months have reduced gradually; at the end of December 2014 and March 2015 there were no children with a plan lasting 2 years or more. However, the number at 30th June 2015 was 6

Comparator Info – CPP duration at 31st March 2014 (%) Source: CiN Census (numbers under 5 suppressed)

	Under 3 months	3 - 6 months	6 - 12 months	1 - 2 years	2 years and over
England	31.6	25.3	26.5	14	2.6
London	30.9	24.6	25.8	15.1	2.6
Harrow	25.1	25.7	38.8	Х	Х
Harrow Jun 15	37.9	19.9	23.7	15.6	2.8